



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/401,676	09/22/1999	HENRY ESMOND BUTTERWORTH	UK999-027	4983
7590 William E. Lewis Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560			EXAMINER LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
			ART UNIT 2139	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/13/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/401,676

Applicant(s)

BUTTERWORTH ET AL.

Examiner

Christian La Forgia

Art Unit

2139

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian La Forgia.

(3) _____.

(2) David Shifren (Reg. No. 59,329).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 5,414,858 (HOFFMAN).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The representative for Applicant and Examiner discussed the differences between the invention of the instant application and the Hoffman reference. The Examiner and representative for Applicant discussed some possible resolutions to help distinguish the invention from the prior art of record. The Examiner will take further action upon the reception of a formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
90 FOREST AVENUE
LOCUST VALLEY, NEW YORK 11560
Telephone: (516) 759-2641
Facsimile: (516) 759-9512
Email: des@rml-law.com

DATE: January 29, 2008**FILE:** Application No. 09/401,676**Facsimile Message From: DAVID E. SHIFREN (Reg. No. 59,329)**

Please deliver the following pages to:

NAME: Examiner Christian A. LaForgia**OF:** U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Art Unit 2131)**FAX NUMBER:** (571) 273-3792**NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER PAGE:** 2**COMMENTS/INSTRUCTIONS:**

Please see attached. Thank you for your anticipated courtesies.

If you do not receive all of the pages, please call us back as soon as possible at (516) 759-2722.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**Patent Application**

Applicant(s): H.E. Butterworth et al.
Docket No.: UK999-027
Serial No.: 09/401,676
Filing Date: September 22, 1999
Group: 2131
Examiner: Christian A. La Forgia

Title: Data Processing Systems and Method
for Processing Work Items in Such Systems

REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW AND AGENDA

Further to a brief discussion earlier today, the undersigned respectfully requests a telephone interview regarding the above-identified application at 3pm (Eastern) on Monday, February 4, 2008.

The undersigned would like to discuss the final rejection of claims 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,414,858 to Hoffman et al. (hereinafter "Hoffman"), as set forth in the Final Office Action dated December 7, 2007. This Final Office was issued in response to an amendment dated October 11, 2007, in which Applicants amended claim 12 so as to further clarify the distinctions between the conventional polling techniques taught by Hoffman and the inventive techniques described in the present specification at, for example, pages 12-13. Applicants would like to work with the Examiner to determine whether this amendment, or a further amendment, could overcome Hoffman so as to result in allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: January 29, 2008

David E. Shifren
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 59,329
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-2641